

**TOWNSHIP OF EGG HARBOR
PLANNING BOARD**

May 21, 2012

Solicitor: Christopher Brown, Esq., (in attendance)
Engineer: James Mott, P.E. (Mott and Associates), * (Greg Schneider, in attendance)
Planner: Vincent Polistina, P.P. (Polistina and Associates) * (no representative in attendance)

A regular meeting of the Planning Board of Egg Harbor Township was held on the above date, 5:30 p.m., prevailing time, Egg Harbor Township Hall, Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey. The Chairperson opened the meeting by reading the statement in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act.

Roll call taken as follows:

Manuel E. Aponte, V-Chairman, present	Robert Levy, present
Committeeman John Carman, present	Mayor James J. McCullough, Jr., * see below
Milas Cook, Alt.#1, present	Peter Miller, Township Administrator, another engage.
Charles Eykyn, present	Paul Rosenberg, Alt. #II, another engage.
James Garth, Sr., Chairman, present	MD Shamsuddin, Alt. #II, present arr. @ 5:41 p.m.
Frank Kearns, present	

OTHER MATTER(S):

1. Atlantic County Firearms Training Facility **4901/4**
175 Betsy Scull Road

Discussion/Presentation: Atlantic County plans to eliminate archery range area within their existing firearms facility located along Betsy Scull Road within Egg Harbor Township. The County intends to berm what was the archery area and allow this area to be for firearm discharge. The current firearms area will undergo a maintenance program to clean out casing.

Anthony Pagano, Esq., introduced himself advising he is an attorney with the Atlantic County Law Department. He advised he is present this evening as part of the County's informational presentation. This presentation is for an endorsement to the County's proposal to work on improvements at the Atlantic County Pistol Range, within River Bend Park.

Attorney Pagano advised, present with him this evening, is Peter Dolcy, of Duffy, Dolcy, McManus, and Roesch whom the County retained to do engineering and design work. Attorney Pagano advised other individuals present include from the Health Department, Pat Dillon and Keith Phillips who can address any concerns with respect to water quality and whom have water reports with them. Also present is Glen Mawby, Facilities Director who is shepherding this project, as well as, Helen Hudson project manager and lastly the Range Master who will answer any questions concerning the operations of the facility.

Peter Dolcy, P.E., New Jersey Licensed Engineer, Lost Pine Way, Galloway Township, NJ, was duly sworn by Board Solicitor Brown. Engineer Dolcy advised the project is an expansion of the existing Atlantic County Firing Range. He indicated the range is located on Betsy Scull Road near Somers Point Mays Landing Road.

Engineer Dolcy explained the range was originally constructed in 1995. He indicated the County must now rehabilitate the berms due to the amount of lead found within them. Engineer Dolcy stated fortunately or unfortunately there has been a great deal of use with respect to the range. Engineer Dolcy advised in order to complete a rehabilitation the firing range would have to be closed, however, rather than closing down the range an acceptable alternative plan has been proposed. He indicated the County would use the archery range.

Engineer Dolcy indicated the archery range was also constructed in 1995 and has very little or no use. He indicated that by moving the firing range to the archery range area it will allow the County to rehabilitate the berms of the existing firing range area.

Engineer Dolcy indicated the archery area is already a cleared area so there only additional minor clearing that needs to be done in order to rehabilitate the archery area in order to create a firing range. Engineer Dolcy explained that as part of the "new" range there will be four (4) firing range areas created. He indicated they will all have the same amount of safety berms, as existing, at the current facility. He indicated the berms are 20' ft. high to prevent the bullets from going off site and along the sides we have 8' ft. high masonry walls because of the wetlands and the limited space the applicant has in this area.

Engineer Dolcy also advised the County will be placing in a 32 space crushed stone parking area with a small area for A.D.A. accessibility that will consist of impervious coverage. He indicated the County has made application to the DEP for a CAFRA permit and a wetlands approval. Engineer Dolcy indicated the wetlands approval was granted and the CAFRA submittal was made and the public commentary period is until May 6, 2012. He indicated the County has not heard any comments so this may be a good sign, therefore, hopefully the CAFRA approval will be given within the next 60 days.

Engineer Dolcy advised there is very little storm water required since most of the facility is open space just a grassed area. He indicated there are two (2) shallow basins that will hold a maximum of one (1') foot of water. He indicated there is very little impervious coverage such as the driveway and the handicap parking, masonry walls and sidewalks.

Board Member Cook asked if the archery will be eliminated completely? Engineer Dolcy advised yes, the archery area will become a firing range because of the heavy use it will help when the rehabilitation begins on the existing firing range. Board Member Cook asked what will happen to the archer's?

Board Planner Polistina stated it seems the Range Master has an observation. The range master indicated there is only one (1) individual who comes out about once every five (5) or six (6) months. Board Planner Polistina asked which will the clients be firing? Engineer Dolcy indicated they will be firing in the same direction parallel with Betsy Scull Road. He further noted the closest houses to this facility have frontage on Somers Point Mays Landing Road and they are 2,700 ft. away from the back of the 20' ft. berm. He indicated "Hidden Pines Golf Course" is more than 4,000 ft. away.

Board Member Aponte asked if this will continue with the same level of use? No competitions? Engineer Dolcy advised the range will have the same level of use, however, it will be spread out and not so tight.

Board Member Cook asked again based on the Range Masters answer. There are not that many individuals who come with bows. The Range Master indicated this is correct. He advised there is only the one (1) individual whom does. Board Member Cook asked if it is not true that the range master would not know because the archer's do not have to go to the office they go right to the archery area.

The Range Master indicated the archer's are suppose to check in and the Range Master advised he frequently goes up and down the driveway. He indicated he only sees one (1) individual in a van and he does check in when he comes out. Board Member Cook advised he has gone to the archery area. The Range Master advised he frequently goes up and down the driveway so if someone has been out there without checking in it has been without his knowledge.

Board Member Cook advised he does not want to belabor the point, however, the gun issues in this State almost make people use a bow or a slug gun. So it seems one (1) sided. Township Committeeman Carman advised that many bow hunters have their own set-up within the confines of their property. Board Member Cook advised it is a moot point.

Motion Aponte/Carman to endorse proposed improvements and maintenance cleaning at the Atlantic County Fire Arms Training Facility located at 175 Betsy Scull Road, within the Township of Egg Harbor. Vote 8 Yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth

FINAL APPLICATION(S):

1. **SDF 02-09**

Final Major Subdivision

Drozdov, Sporkin and Lister, LLC

Zone: RG-1, 14.519 acres, sewer/water, applicant seeking final approval for a 19 lot consisting of 18

Single-family dwellings and one (1) storm water parcel. Pinelands C/F #81-1488.001 09/25/2008.

5202/21 &22

Chelsea Avenue

Waiver of Time – **Not granted**

Checklist Waiver(s):

- 1. Item #1 (c): Copy of plans on digital format**
- 2. Item #18: Executed and acknowledged easements**
- 3. Item #20: Performance guaranty**

Nicholas Talvacchia, Esq., introduced as attorney for the applicant, Drozdov, Sporkin and Lister, LLC., He advised Mr. Drozdov is present this evening, as well as, Jason Sciallo, project engineer. Attorney Talvacchia advised this application received preliminary approval three (3) years ago for a 19 lot subdivision of which 18 lots will be for single-family dwellings and one (1) lot will be for the basin.

Attorney Talvacchia advised the applicant does not have any issues with the reports that were issued by the Board Professional's. He indicated Engineer Sciallo is here to answer any questions. Again, the project received preliminary approval almost three (3) years ago, as well as, relief being granted for both checklist and design waivers and minor variance relief.

Township Committeeman Carman asked if all the conditions of preliminary had been addressed. Attorney Talvacchia indicated no. He stated there is one item was not addressed, which is the applicant's fault. He indicated that since the application was deemed complete he believed the applicant did not have to submit their final application to the Municipal Utilities Authority until later. Attorney Talvacchia indicated the preliminary approval was granted by the Municipal Utilities Authority when the Planning Board had originally granted their preliminary approval.

Attorney Talvacchia advised he has spoken with Board Planner Polistina and if the Board deems acceptable, the applicant will submit the final application to the Municipal Utilities Authority within ten (10) days, if the Board were to prepare to grant final tonight.

Board Planner Polistina advised this is the only issue that is outstanding for preliminary compliance. Board Planner Polistina indicated there is also another issue concerning a letter of credit or bond. Attorney Talvacchia explained there is an in lieu cash contribution of \$90,000.00 required at the signing of the plat for improvements to Chelsea Avenue. He indicated the applicant would rather submit this contribution at the issuance of the first building permit rather than at the signing of the plat.

Attorney Talvacchia stated he has discussed this issue with the professional's and the reality to the situation is the small guys cannot get bonds. He noted public companies can, but not the small guys the bonding companies will not issue them. He stated the applicant can submit a letter of credit, however, the same amount has to be posted in their bank account and it is frozen.

Attorney Talvacchia advised suggestion has been given that \$30,000.00 be given at the signing of the plat and the remaining balance upon the issuance of the first building permit. This will be up to the Board.

Board Planner Polistina advised he has spoken with Township Administrator Miller concerning this situation. He indicated Township Administrator Miller was not comfortable with this suggestion. He indicated the monies are being provided to the Township for the road improvements to Chelsea Avenue and the neighbor's in this area all raised concerns during the preliminary approval with respect to the condition of Chelsea Avenue. There is no guarantee by doing so that we will obtain the remaining monies especially if the applicant were to sell the project.

Board Planner Polistina advised the applicant does not have to provide cash, but some type of guarantee must be submitted whether it is a bond or letter of credit. He indicated Township needs something so that it is protected that the improvements get done. Attorney Talvacchia indicated the applicant is

fine with this. Township Committeeman Carman stated most residents who live on Chelsea were present during the preliminary hearing and raised concerns with respect to the condition of Chelsea Avenue.

Board Planner Polistina advised if the applicant agrees to submit an application to the Municipal Utilities Authority within ten (10) days so it gives them an opportunity to review it before the Planning Board memorializes the resolution the Municipal Utilities Authority would be comfortable with this. He indicated if there are any issues then it can be discussed prior to the Planning Board memorializing the resolution. Board Planner Polistina stated if the Board is comfortable with what is being suggested then he stated it would be acceptable.

Township Committeeman Carman advised he is comfortable with what is being proposed concerning the Municipal Utilities Authority and that bonding will be required at the time of signing the plat.

Chairman Garth advised if he recalls correctly the construction traffic for this project was coming in off of Churchill not down Chelsea from Ocean Heights. Attorney Talvacchia advised he was not present during the original hearing, however, he will ask Engineer Scullo.

Jason Scullo, P.E., New Jersey Licensed Engineer, Marathon Engineering, 2922 Atlantic Avenue, Atlantic City, New Jersey, was duly sworn by Board Solicitor Brown. Engineer Scullo indicated he was not in attendance during the preliminary hearing.

Chairman Garth advised this was one of the main concerns because Chelsea Avenue is very narrow. Engineer Scullo advised this was one of the items being kicked around at the time because Equestrian Estates or Fox Ridge was still under construction and their roads were not finalized and it would not be an issue. Engineer Scullo advised it is the applicants intent to stay off Chelsea as much as possible.

Motion Carman/Eykyn to grant requested checklist waiver(s) #2 & 3. Vote 8 yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth

Engineer Scullo advised when the applicant received preliminary approval the recreation fee for in lieu contribution was \$5,750.00 per lot, however, the Board Planner's report references \$106,000.00 is required which equates to \$5,900.00 per lot. Engineer Scullo asked if he is correct with the opinion that the \$5,750.00 per lot is what is due? Board Planner Polistina stated this is correct. He indicated the resolution of the preliminary referenced \$5,900.00 so this is where his office came up with the figures. Board Planner Polistina indicated the resolution for final should stipulate this issue.

Board Planner Polistina also asked if there was a dollar amount established for Chelsea Avenue. Engineer Scullo stated yes, he advised Robert Watkins, P.E., of Mott Engineer (Planning Board Engineer) established a figure of \$90,000.00, which is the applicant's responsibility for the improvements to Chelsea Avenue.

Board Planner Polistina stated the resolution should also clarify this amount within the final since the Preliminary Resolution only spoke of meeting with the Township to establish an amount. Attorney Talvacchia stated there is a cross calculation establishing this amount and will be paid at the time of plat signing. Board Planner Polistina stated the resolution will say there will be some type of financial guarantee submitted or cash prior to the signing of the plat.

Motion Aponte/Carman to grant conditional final major subdivision approval. Vote 8 Yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth

PUBLIC HEARING(S):

1. SP 03-12
Heavensway Baptist Church

Minor Site Plan
4102/45

“Heavensway Baptist Church”

Zone: RG-1, 4.85 acres, septic/well, applicant

5082 Tremont Avenue

Waiver of Time – **Not Indicated**

is proposing to construct a 1,500 square foot single family dwelling on the site as a parsonage. Other improvements include modifications to the existing stormwater management basin, paving of portions of the existing parking area, a paved driveway, three spaces for the parsonage, and additional landscaping. Pinelands.

Checklist Waiver(s):

- | | | |
|----|------------------|---|
| 1. | Item #6: | Name and address of owner/applicant and owners of land within 200' |
| 2. | Item #9: | Survey |
| 3. | Item #10: | Existing topography at 1 foot intervals |
| 4. | Item #11: | Location of all existing structures |
| 5. | Item #19: | Connections of existing water supply and sanitary sewerage systems |
| 6. | Item #24 | Lighting & signage plan |

Variance Relief:

§225-55D: Driving aisle width

§225-55G: Parking stall dimensions

§225-55I: Surfacing of parking lots

Chairman Garth advised items will be taken out of order because of logistical problems.

Charles Gemmel, Esq., introduced himself as attorney for the applicant Heavensway Baptist Church. He indicated the applicant is seeking minor site plan approval to erect a parsonage on the property and to also obtain approval for the reduction of the size of the drainage basin. The drainage basin is much larger than what is necessary, therefore, the Church would like to reclaim a portion of this area to conduct activities and sporting events.

Attorney Gemmel asked if the following individuals could be sworn in:

Robert Bruce, P.E., New Jersey Licensed Engineer and Planner, Somers Point-Mays Landing Road, Somers Point, New Jersey, duly sworn by Board Solicitor Brown

Erwin Williams, Chairman of the Building Department for Heavensway Baptist Church, Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey, duly sworn by Board Solicitor Brown.

May the record reflect the Board Engineer and Board Planner were also duly sworn by Board Solicitor Brown.

Attorney Gemmel referred to **Exhibit A1**: site plan page and zoning schedule for property. Engineer Bruce advised, as shown on Exhibit A1, the property is located on Tremont Avenue, more specifically 5082 Tremont commonly known as block 4102 lot 45.

Engineer Bruce advised the site currently contains a church, with a basin in the rear and a parking field that surrounds it. He indicated other existing improvements include site lighting and landscaping. Attorney Gemmel asked how many seats exist within the church? Engineer Bruce explained the church currently has 150 seats and this will remain the same.

Attorney Gemmel asked where on site is the proposed parsonage to be placed? Engineer Bruce advised it will be in the rear about the middle of the way back along the left side of the church. Engineer Bruce explained the parsonage will be 30' x 60' three bedroom detached dwelling that will be serviced by a septic system and a well, which is not shown on the plan, but is 50' ft. from the septic bed.

Engineer Bruce advised the parsonage will be accessed by a paved drive and will have three (3) parking spaces. Attorney Gemmel asked how many spaces is the church required to provide. Engineer Bruce explained parking requirements for churches is one (1) space for each four (4) seats, which would require a total of 38 spaces. He explained currently the applicant has 44 paved spaces but a total of 52 spaces are existing.

Engineer Bruce advised this proposed site plan will add another 22 parking spaces to site, but currently the church has more than enough paved spaces. Engineer Bruce advised that at one time the applicant believed they needed 50 paved spaces, however, after reviewing the ordinance the applicant is only required to have 38 but 44 paved spaces exist. He asked if the church could keep the remaining six (6) spaces unpaved [currently stone and gravel] (for the total of 50), as well as, the additional twenty-two (22). He indicated that some time in the future these spaces may be paved but the budget does not allow for it.

Attorney Gemmel clarified for the Board that the required number of spaces (44) are paved. Engineer Bruce advised this is correct and the excess parking spaces will be crushed stone. Attorney Gemmel advised the applicant must seek approval to allow for the crushed stone and gravel because the ordinance requires all parking spaces to be paved. Engineer Bruce advised all the spaces will be 9' X 18'. Attorney Gemmel asked if the drive-isles will be conforming. Engineer Bruce advised there is existing paving and it would be tight to conform. Therefore, the applicant is proposing a one-way-in off of Tremont Avenue and a one-way-out onto Tremont Avenue. He indicated appropriate signage for one-way will be erected.

Attorney Gemmel asked if there is a loading area? Engineer Bruce advised there is no striped loading area. He indicated the Church could place a striped area in front of the main doors. He indicated there are other doors to gain access but there is no paving in front of them. Engineer Bruce advised the Church does not receive many deliveries.

Attorney Gemmel asked if the basin is oversized. Engineer Bruce advised he would represent the basin is oversized. He does not know why. He explained the basin was designed many years ago. He indicated it is actually twice the size then what is currently being shown on Exhibit A1. Engineer Bruce indicated the Church wishes to reclaim a portion of the basin area and provide flat ground for church activities.

Engineer Bruce indicated the re-design of the basin accomplishes a reduction to the size of the basin. He explained there were some comments from the Board Engineer concerning vertical design constraints. Engineer Bruce advised based upon these comments the applicant performed two (2) more soil borings. He indicated these borings thus raised the basin slightly to meet the basin bottom vertical design constraints.

Engineer Bruce explained based on these borings there has been a re-design to the basin re-design and the applicant now has a grade at a low elevation the applicant cannot meet the foot of freeboard for the basin that is required. Planning Engineering Representative Schneider advised the foot of freeboard cannot be met in the 50 and 100 year storms, but can be met in all others. Engineer Bruce advised since the applicant can now meet the vertical design constraints the basin will be slightly larger than what is shown on Exhibit A1.

Engineer Bruce also advised the applicant would like to phase the proposed project. He indicated phase II would be the filling in of the basin and the appropriate paper work would be submitted when phase II moves forward. He indicated the home can be handled by the basin as it currently exist. Attorney Gemmel asked that the basin phasing has nothing to do with the single-family dwelling being constructed. Engineer Bruce indicated it has no basis.

Board Member Aponte asked why the basin was created so large? Engineer Bruce stated he does not know. The basin was done many years ago and was in existence when he became Engineer for the church.

Township Committee Carman stated that based upon the testimony provided the first two (2) variances listed on the agenda are no longer being requested. Attorney Gemmel advised this is correct. The applicant is only requesting the third (3rd) variance.

Attorney Gemmel advised there is no further presentation. He indicated Mr. Williams, from the church is present if there is any questions. Board Member Kearns advised that there is one (1) way for both in an out. In order to get to the parsonage in case of a fire which way do we go? Engineer Bruce advised the fire department can access the paved driveway that goes straight back to the parsonage, instead of following the specific traffic pattern of the site. Board Member Kearns stated he believe there may be obstructions where this could not be done. Engineer Bruce confirmed there are no obstructions and the fire department can go straight back to the parsonage if an emergency required them.

Board Solicitor Brown advised the applicant covered the variance relief requested, however, there are six (6) checklist waivers being sought are they still being requested. Attorney Gemmel advised he did not find the checklist waivers listed on the professional's reports. He indicated the applicant has satisfied items #1-4 and 6. The only waiver necessary would be item #5. Board Planner Polistina advised item #5 is needed either. He indicated the location of the well is shown on the revised plan, therefore, the applicant has complied with all checklist waiver(s).

Motion Kearns/Eykyn to open public portion. Vote: 8 Yes

May the record reflect no one came forward.

Motion Kearns/Carman to close public portion. Vote: 8 yes

Board Member Aponte advised he would like to make the motion for granting the requested variance relief for the surfacing to the parking lot. He expressed his belief that there is enough or actually more than enough parking and it will not be an imposition to not surface the few spaces discussed.

Motion Aponte/Carman to grant requested variance(s). Vote 8 Yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth

Motion Carman/Kearns to grant conditional minor site plan approval. Attorney Gemmel asked if this motion could be conditioned upon the proposed phasing of the site. Board Solicitor Brown advised there is also a design waiver that must be considered for the freeboard.

Township Committeeman Carman retracted his original Motion and this was seconded by Kearns.

Motion Carman/Kearns to retract motion granting conditional minor site plan approval. Vote 8 Yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth.

Motion Carman/Kearns to grant design waiver requested for 1' ft. of freeboard on the redesigned basin. Vote: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth.

Board Member Aponte asked when the basin will be completed he is concerned with leaving open ended. Attorney Gemmel advised the basin will be completed based upon the funds. He indicated the parsonage is the most important issue.

Board Planner Polistina advised the applicant is receiving approval for the way it is designed. He indicated it was designed to be filled in. They are not going to do this right away because they do not have the money. Board Member Aponte asked what if it is ten (10) years before they do so. Board Planner Polistina advised the storm water design is based upon them filling in the basin. They do not have to come back to the Board for any modifications. The applicant can do the basin when they want.

Attorney Gemmel advised phase I includes the parsonage and phase II will the basin and the landscaping around the basin. He indicated the phasing has been requested because the applicant would not be able to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy unless the basin was reconstructed.

Motion Carman/Kearns to grant conditional minor site plan approval (phase I and II). Vote 8 Yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth.

SUMMARY MATTER(S):

Discussions of matters pertaining to the Board:

- a. **Egg Harbor Township Ordinance No. 22 of 2012:** an ordinance to amend Chapter 225 of the Township Code entitled "Zoning" specifically RG-1 through RG-5 Residential Districts.

Board Planner Polistina explained that Ordinance No. 22 of 2012, modifies the Pinelands residential zones of RG-1 – RG-5 to require a mandatory purchase of 25% PDC (Pinelands Development Credits) for residential developments in those zones.

Chairman Garth asked if anyone had any questions? Board Member Aponte asked Board Planner Polistina why this is changing? Board Planner Polistina advised this is something the Pinelands is trying to get done. The Pinelands has not been happy with the level of PDC (Pineland Development Credit) sales since the Pinelands have started so this is a way of mandating we have PDC (Pineland Development Credit) sales in conjunction with certain residential developments.

Board Member Aponte stated so this will help the monopoly owners of the PDC (Pinelands Development Credit). Township Committeeman Carman stated exactly, we will be their policeman they are forcing us to do this. Voted against introduction

Motion Aponte/Cook to recommend Ordinance No. 22 of 2012 to Township Committee for review and approval finding it meets the requirements of the Master Plan. Vote 6 Yes: Aponte, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Shamsuddin, Garth **2 No:** Carman, Levy

- b. **Egg Harbor Township Ordinance No. 26 of 2012:** an ordinance to amended the code of the Township of Egg Harbor, Chapter 94 thereof, Entitled Design, Performance and Improvement Standards.

Board Planner Polistina stated Ordinance No. 26 modifies the definition for impervious surface, again requested by Pinelands. However, it is what we have been applying anyway.

Motion Carman/Kearns to recommend Ordinance No. 26 of 2012 to Township Committee for review and approval finding it meets the requirements of the Master Plan. Vote 8 Yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth

- c. **Egg Harbor Township Ordinance No. 27 of 2012:** an ordinance to amend Chapter 225 of the Township Code Entitled "Zoning" (Forestry).

Board Planner Polistina stated Ordinance No. 27 modifies some of the definitions related to forestry and some of the requirements. Again, this is straight from the Pinelands Commission to the Township. Township Committee Carman stated what Pinelands considers a forested area we do not contain a forested area. Board Planner Polistina stated this is correct. The Township is regional growth. Township Committee Carman stated it was not worth arguing the forested area with Pinelands.

Motion Carman/Kearns to recommend Ordinance No. 27 of 2012 to Township Committee for review and approval finding it meets the requirements of the Master Plan. Vote 8 Yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth

Motion Carman/Aponte to adjourn at 6:19 P.M. Vote 8 Yes: Aponte, Carman, Cook, Eykyn, Kearns, Levy, Shamsuddin, Garth

Respectfully submitted by,
Theresa Wilbert, Secretary

